

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
/04/2001	Mathias Schafforz	31653-174372	2647
07/12/2004		EXAMINER	
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP		CHOI, STEPHEN	
P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3724	
	04/2001 07/12/2004 R, HOWARD AN	04/2001 Mathias Schafforz 07/12/2004 R, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP	04/2001 Mathias Schafforz 31653-174372 07/12/2004 EXAM R, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP CHOI, ST 20043-9998 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/944,217	SCHAFFORZ, MAT	HIAS
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Choi	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a virinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
<u> </u>	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	on. See MPEP opriate extension
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply to be later than three months after the mail	originally set in the final	Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>2/23/2004</u>. Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 		o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	,		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7-12,14,15,18-22 and 29-31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5,6,16,17 a</u>	nd 23-28.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr		ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		Alin	
		STEPHEN CHO PRIMARY EXAMIN	l IEF

Continuation of 10. Other: the proposed amendment does not overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph of the previous office action. As defined in claim 15, "a predetermined path" runs along in a web lengthwise direction. Thus, each of the first pair of rolls that are adjacent one side of the path as defined in claim 19 cannot engage a different one of the strips as defined in claim 18. Furthermore, each of the second pair of rolls that are adjacent the other side of the path cannot engage a different one of the strips as defined in claim 19.